

# Article Language Modeling on Location-Based Social Networks

Juglar Diaz<sup>1</sup>, Felipe Bravo-Marquez<sup>1</sup> and Barbara Poblete<sup>1</sup>

- Abstract: The popularity of mobile devices with GPS capabilities, along with the worldwide
- 2 adoption of social media, have created a rich source of text data combined with spatio-temporal
- information. Text data collected from location-based social networks can be used to gain space-
- time insights into human behavior and provide a view of time and space from the social media lens.
- From a data modeling perspective: text, time, and space have different scales and representation
- approaches; hence it is not trivial to jointly represent them in a unified model. Existing approaches
   do not capture the sequential structure present in texts or the patterns that drive how text is
- do not capture the sequential structure present in texts or the patterns that drive how text is
   generated considering the spatio-temporal context at different levels of granularity. In this work
- we present a neural language model architecture that allows us to represent time and space
- <sup>10</sup> as context for text generation at different granularities. We define the task of modeling text,
- 11 timestamps, and geo-coordinates as a spatio-temporal conditioned language model task. This
- 12 task definition allows us to employ the same evaluation methodology used in language modeling,
- <sup>13</sup> a traditional natural language processing task which considers the sequential structure of texts.
- 14 We conduct experiments over two datasets collected from location-based social networks Twitter
- and Foursquare. Our experimental results show that each dataset has particular patterns for
- language generation under spatio-temporal conditions at different granularities. Also, we present
- <sup>17</sup> qualitative analyses to show how the proposed model can be used to characterize urban places.
- 18 Keywords: spatio-temporal text data; location-based social networks; language models

# 1. Introduction

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

Social networks play a crucial role nowadays in modern societies. From interests and reviews to preferences and political opinions; it is imprinted in our everyday life. Social networks such as Instagram, Facebook, Twitter, and Foursquare allow users to share text data with spatio-temporal information (a timestamp and geo-coordinates). We refer to these social networks as location-based social networks (LBSN). Text data generated on location-based social networks is a set of records representing  $\langle where, when, what \rangle$ , in which the *where* means a location's latitude-longitude geo-coordinates, the *when* is a timestamp, and the *what* is the textual content.

Understanding patterns of spatio-temporal textual data generated on LBSN can help us understand human mobility patterns [1,2] or *when* and *where* popular social activities take place [3–5] in urban environments. In addition, spatio-temporal textual data from LBSN has been successfully used to detect real-world events such as earthquakes [6,7] or to predict events like civil unrest [8]. A better understanding of this type of data could be beneficial in a wide range of scenarios. For instance, the STAPLES Center is a multi-purpose arena in Los Angeles, California which holds different humans activities like sporting events and concerts. Using "STAPLES Center" to annotate this location could fail to reveal the complete purpose of the place; while using data from a LBSN could discover spatio-temporal nuances of the human activities that take place on points of interest like this.

One challenge related to modeling this kind of data is its multi-modality. Timestamps, geo-coordinates and textual data exhibit different magnitudes and representations schemes which makes it difficult to combine them effectively. Timestamps and

Citation: Diaz, J.; Bravo-Marquez, F.; Poblete, B. Language Modeling on Location-Based Social Networks. *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.* **2021**, *1*, 0. https://doi.org/

Received: Accepted: Published:

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Submitted to *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science, University of Chile & IMFD, Santiago, Chile; {judiaz,bpoblete,fbravo}@dcc.uchile.cl

geo-coordinates are continuous variables while the text is a sequence of discrete items
and is usually represented using vector spaces.

An additional challenge is associated with the individual representation of each 44 type of variable. Previous approaches (see Section 2) for modeling how text is generated 45 in a spatio-temporal context use a single granularity representation for time or space; 46 either using hand-crafted discretizations, automatic models like clustering algorithms, or probabilistic models. Spatio-temporal patterns for text data generation should capture 48 patterns at different granularities such as hours, weeks, months, and years, for time or 49 blocks, neighborhoods and cities, for space. When considering the textual data, previous 50 works have modeled the text following a bag-of-words approach (see Section 2), ignoring 61 the sequential structure of texts. 52

The research question that guides this work is whether modeling time and space at different granularities along with the sequential structure of texts can improve the modeling of spatio-temporal conditioned text data. The main contributions of our current work are to:

 propose a spatio-temporal conditioned neural language model architecture that represents time and space at different granularities and captures the sequential structure of texts. By modeling time and space at different granularities, the proposed architecture is adaptable to the specific characteristics of each data source. This has proven to be paramount according to our experiments over two LBSN datasets.
 perform a qualitative analysis where we show visualizations that can help to

<sup>63</sup> 2. perform a qualitative analysis where we snow visualizations that can help to
 <sup>64</sup> gain insights into the patterns that guide language generation under spatio <sup>65</sup> temporal conditions. By modeling time and space at different granularities we
 <sup>66</sup> can analyze how each granularity level weights in the representation model. For
 <sup>67</sup> this analysis, we conducted experiments with a Transformer-based neural network.
 <sup>68</sup> Attention-based neural networks like the Transformer architecture have the benefit
 <sup>69</sup> of providing insights into the importance of components of the spatio-temporal
 <sup>70</sup> context by visualizing the attention weights.

# 71 1.1. Roadmap

This document is organized as follows, in section 2 we provide a background of the 72 literature relevant to this work. In the first part of the section, we describe applications 73 that leverage spatio-temporal textual data from LBSN; after that, we delve into models 74 that jointly represent the three variables and highlight existing drawbacks in previous 75 approaches that need to be addressed. In section 3, first, we provide a background on 76 language modeling before presenting our problem formulation as a spatio-temporal 77 conditioned language modeling task. We provide a background of neural networks 78 for language modeling and finally describe the proposed neural language model archi-79 tecture. In section 4, we describe our experimental framework. We present the LBSN 80 datasets used in our experiments, we describe the evaluation metric and the experiments 81 that we conducted to understand time and space modeling at different granularities. Finally, in section 5 we discuss our conclusions. 83

# 84 2. Related work

In this section, we provide an overview of the work in the literature related to this research. First, we describe the principal applications of spatio-temporal text data generated on LBSN. Later, we delve into the models for spatio-temporal text data closest to our work derived from these applications mentioned before. These works study how text is generated in a spatio-temporal context and we focus on how they model time and space as a context for language generation.

2 of 21

# 91 2.1. Applications for spatio-temporal text data

As stated in previous sections, there are many sources of text data with spatio-

- temporal dimensions. Nevertheless, most of the works in the literature focus on the
- LBSN domain. It is the most abundant data source and easiest to acquire using APIs.
- The main applications that we identify in the literature are activity modeling, mobility modeling, event detection and event forecasting. Next, we describe these applications.
- 97 2.1.1. Activity modeling

Activity modeling studies human activities in urban environments using spatio-98 temporal text data related to human activities. As people share information about activities they do in the everyday life, spatio-temporal text data from LBSN provides 100 useful information about spatial and temporal patterns of human activities. Unlike static 101 analysis of spatial data, spatio-temporal text data can discover the purpose of a visit to a 102 point of interest that hosts multiple kinds of events. For instance, the STAPLES Center, 103 a multi-purpose arena in Los Angeles, California holds sporting events as basketball 104 matches but also can hold others, such as concerts. People may visit the STAPLES Center 105 for different purposes. Using "STAPLES Center" to annotate a location record could fail 106 to reveal the complete purpose of the location. 107

Works in activity modeling focus on place labeling and models that jointly represent text, time, and space. Both approaches characterize urban areas using data collected from LBSN. Given a set  $R = \{r_1, ..., r_m\}$  of spatio-temporal text data records, place labeling finds labels that best describe PoIs, either static [9] or at different time periods [3]. Works that jointly represent text, time, and space for activity modeling allow combining the three data types in a unique representation scheme [4][10].

### 114 2.1.2. Mobility modeling

Mobility modeling using spatio-temporal text data allows us not only to know the 115 geometric aspects of mobility human data but also the semantics: i.e. going from point 116 A at time  $t_0$  to point B at time  $t_1$  is not as informative as going from home at time  $t_0$ 117 to work at time  $t_1$  or from work at time  $t_2$  to a restaurant at time  $t_3$ . Studying human 118 mobility patterns have applications like place prediction/recommendation [2,11] for 119 individual users and trajectory pattern mining for mobility understanding in urban areas 120 [1,12]. This information can lead to grasping the reasons that motivate people mobility 121 behaviors, understanding the nuances of mobility problems in urban environments and 122 then take effective actions to solve them. 123

# 124 2.1.3. Event detection

Event detection methods applied on streaming of spatio-temporal text data from LBSN, allows us to detect; in real-time, geo-localized events from first-hand reporters. As defined by Allan *et al.* [13], an event is something that happens at a specific time and place and impacts people's lives, e.g. protests, disasters, sporting games, concerts. Some types of events that are reflected in LBSN and can be detected are earthquakes [6,7,14] or traffic congestion [15,16].

# 131 2.1.4. Event forecasting

Event forecasting methods, unlike event detection, which typically discovers events when are occurring, predict the incidence of events in the future. The common approach is to use data from LBSN in conjunction with external sources to build prediction models. For some events like criminal incidents [17–19] or civil unrests [8,19], predicting the exact location with as much time in advance is paramount. A common approach is to define features as indicators and train prediction models for spatial regions [17]. For civil unrest, the prediction is usually at the city level or smaller administrative regions, while for crimes and traffic events the prediction is at a finer grain level like neighborhoods or blocks. The temporal variable is used to identify the changing patterns that indicate theoccurrence of an event in the future.

# 142 2.2. Models for spatio-temporal text data

Analyzing the former applications, activity modeling can be considered the primary task. It allows to answer  $\langle what \rangle$  happens,  $\langle when \rangle$  it happens and  $\langle where \rangle$  it happens and can be considered the basic task. For example spatial and temporal activity patterns can be used to define transition points in trajectories for mobility models, spatial and temporal activity patterns are used as features for event forecasting models and unusual localized bursty activity is used to detect events. Next, we focus on specialized models for activity modeling. First, we describe models that detect geographical topics. Then, we describe multimodal embedding methods for spatio-temporal text data.

#### 151 2.2.1. Spatio-temporal topic modeling

Spatio-temporal topic modeling discovers topics related to geographical areas [20– 152 26]. Mei et al. [20] proposed a generalization of Probabilistic Latent Semantic Indexing 153 [27] model, topics can be generated by *text* or by the combination of *timestamp* and 154 location. Eisenstein et al. [21] proposed a cascading topic modeling. Words are generated 155 by a multinomial distribution that is the mean of a latent topic model and a region topic 156 model. Regions are latent variables that also generate coordinates. Topics are gener-157 ated by a Dirichlet distribution. Regions are generated by a multinomial distribution 158 and coordinates are generated by a bivariate Gaussian distribution. Each region has a 159 multinomial distribution over topics and each topic has a multinomial distribution over 160 keywords. Wang et al. proposed LATM [22], which is an extension of Latent Dirichlet 161 Allocation (LDA) [28], capable of learning the relationships between locations and words. 162 In the model, each word has an associated location. For generating words, the model 163 produces the word and also the location, in both cases with a multinomial distribution 164 depending on a topic that is generated by a Dirichlet distribution. Additionally, Sizov 165 [23] developed a model similar to the work of Wang *et al.* [22]. Rather than using a multi-166 nomial distribution to generate locations, they replace it with two Gaussian distributions 167 that generate latitudes and longitudes. Yin et al. [4] studied a generative model where there are latent regions that are geographically distributed by a Gaussian. Hong et al. [24] 169 use a base language model, a region-dependent language model, and a topic language 170 model. Geo-coordinates are discretized into regions using clustering algorithms. Regions 171 are generated by a multinomial distribution depending on the user and a global region distribution. Geo-coordinates are generated by the regions using multivariate Gaussian 173 distributions. Words are generated by topics depending on the global topic distribution, 174 the user, and the region. Ahmed *et al.* [25] developed a hierarchical topic model which 175 models both document and region-specific topic distributions and additionally models 176 regional variations of topics. Relations between the Gaussian distributed geographical 177 regions are modeled by assuming a strict hierarchical relation between regions that is 178 learned during inference. Finally, Kling et al. proposed MGTM [26], a model based on 179 multi-Dirichlet processes. The authors used a three-level hierarchical Dirichlet process 180 with a Fischer distribution for detecting geographical clusters, a Dirichlet-multinomial 181 document-topic distribution and a Dirichlet-multinomial topic-word distribution. 182

### 183 2.2.2. Embedding methods

Embedding methods are distributed learned representations for discrete variables. Learned embedded representations are very popular in natural language processing [29,30] and graph node representation [31]. For spatio-temporal textual data, embedded-representations learn a joint representation for the elements of the tuple (*time, location, text*).

Zhang *et al.* proposed CrossMap [10]. In CrossMap, the first step is to discretize
 timestamps and coordinates using Kernel Density Estimation techniques. After that,

CrossMap uses two different strategies to learn the embedded representations: Recon 191 and Graph. In Recon, the problem is modeled as a relation reconstruction task between 192 the elements of the tuple (*time*, *location*, *text*) while in Graph; the goal is to learn repre-193 sentations such that the structure of a graph built from the tuples  $\langle time, location, text \rangle$  is 194 preserved. In [5], Crossmap is extended to learn the embedded representation in a stream. 195 The authors propose two strategies based on life-decay learning and constrained learning the find the representations from the streaming data. Unlike Crossmap, timestamps and 197 geo-coordinates are discretized into hand-crafted spatial windows and temporal cells 198 instead of Kernel density Estimation based clustering. Zhang et al. [32] proposed another 199 extension to Crossmap, in this case, to learn representations from multiple sources. The 200 main dataset is the set of tuples  $\langle time, location, text \rangle$ . Each dataset defines a graph and 201 the representations are learned to preserve the graph structure. Nodes representing the 202 same entity are shared between the main graph and secondary graphs. During training, 203 the learning process alternates between learning the embeddings for the main graph 204 and the embeddings for the secondary datasets. 205

### 206 2.2.3. Analysis of models that leverage spatio-temporal text data

In Table 1, we present a summary of the works discussed in this section. Existing 207 approaches are based on topic modeling or embedding methods. Works following 208 the topic modeling approach are based on topic models such as Probabilistic Latent 209 Semantic Analysis [33] or Latent Dirichlet Allocation [28] and extend the models by 210 assigning distributions over locations to topics, or by introducing latent geographical 211 regions. Both, topic models and embedding methods assume a bag-of-words approach 212 for text modeling, which ignores the sequential structure of texts. When considering 213 time and space modeling, each work models timestamps and geo-coordinates at a single 214 level of granularity using hand-crafted spatial-cells and temporal-windows or clustering 215 algorithms. Only Ahmed et al. [25] models hierarchy, but only for space; to the best of our knowledge, there are no studies of how representing time and space at different levels 217 of granularity impact the modeling of text generation under spatio-temporal conditions. 218 Also, no work models the sequential structure of texts. 219

An additional problem about modeling spatio-temporal text data, which is impor-220 tant to mention, is the evaluation framework. Building a reference dataset in this field 221 is complex. First, there is a temporal variable involved: this means that data should be 222 collected for a long time. Second, data is related to a specific region: this means that 223 using models in a new region would require collecting data from that region. We can 224 observe (see column Dataset in Table 1) that there is no consensus about what dataset to 225 use as a standard to establish fair evaluations between different approaches. For this 226 reasons, we decided not to amplify this issue by using a new dataset and we develop 227 our experiments using the most recent datasets (see Section 4.1) reported in [5,10,32]. 228

Also, each work models time and space with different techniques like: clustering, 229 probabilistic models or hand-crafted discretizations and use different evaluation metrics 230 suited to their proposed model. For example: works that their outcome are classification 231 models are evaluated using classification metrics like Accuracy, works that produce 232 Probability Distributions are evaluated using Perplexity and works that propose ranking models are evaluated using Mean Reciprocal Rank. As in this work we propose a spatio-234 temporal conditioned neural language model, we use as evaluation metric Perplexity, a 235 traditional language modeling evaluation metric. Using Perplexity over the generated 236 text, because we only look at the text, allows us to disentangled the evaluation metric 23 from how time and space are modeled. 238

Overall, we can conclude that existing approaches ignore two dimensions of the problem:

<sup>241</sup> 1. the sequential structure of language.

<sup>242</sup> 2. a unified model for representing time and space that leverage time and space at

different granularities as context for language generation.

Work	Time	Space	Text	Integration	Dataset	Evaluation
	Representation	Representation	Representation			Metric
[20]	Days in a week	City	Multinomial	Topic modeling	Blogs (2006)	-
[21]	-	User aggrega- tion + Gaus- sian	Multinomial	Topic modeling	Twitter (2010)	Accuracy and Mean Distance
[23]	-	Two Gaussian	Multinomial	Topic modeling	Flickr (2010)	Accuracy
[22]	-	Multinomial	Multinomial	Topic modeling	News (-)	Perplexity
[24]	-	Clustering + Gaussian	Multinomial	Topic modeling	Twitter (2011)	Mean Distance
[25]	-	Hierarchical Gaussian	Multinomial	Topic modeling	Twitter (2011)	Accuracy and Mean Distance
[26]	-	Fisher distribution	Multinomial	Multi-Dirichlet process	Flickr (2010)	Perplexity
[10]	Clustering over seconds in a day	Clustering	Embedding	Multimodal embedding	Twitter (2014) Foursquare (2014)	Mean Recipro- cal Rank
[5]	Hours in a day	Equal-sized grids	Embedding	Online multimodal embedding	Twitter (2014) Foursquare (2014)	Mean Recipro- cal Rank
[32]	Hours in a day	Equal-sized grids	Embedding	Cross-modal embedding	Twitter (2014) Foursquare (2014)	Mean Recipro- cal Rank

Table 1: Spatio-temporal Text Data Modeling

# 244 3. Proposed Solution

In this section we describe our proposed solution. First, we show the problem formulation which is framed as a language modeling task. After that, we describe the proposed model for which we previously briefly overview state-of-the-art neural language model architectures. Finally, we show the discretizations of timestamps and geo-coordinates as well as the parameters selection.

# 250 3.1. Language Modeling

Language modeling is defined as the task of assigning a probability to a sequence of words **w**:  $p(\mathbf{w}) = p(w_0, w_1 \dots w_{j-1}, w_j)$ . State-of-the-art models for language modeling are based on neural networks. Typically, neural network language models are constructed and trained as discriminative predictive models that learn to predict a probability distribution  $p(w_j/w_0, w_1 \dots w_{j-1})$  for a given word conditioned on the previous words in the sequence. These models are trained on a given corpus of documents. The probability of a sequence of words  $p(w_0 \dots w_{j-1}, w_j)$  can be estimated with:

# <sup>258</sup> $\prod_{i=1}^{i=j} p(w_i/w_0, w_1 \dots w_{i-1}).$

Conditioned language modeling is defined as the task of assigning a probability to a sequence of words given a context  $c: p(\mathbf{w}/c) = p((w_0, w_1 \dots w_{j-1}, w_j)/c)$ . Then, the probability of each word in the sequence is computed as:  $p(w_j/c, w_0, w_1 \dots w_{j-1})$ . Conditioned language models have applications in multiple natural language processing tasks, for example: machine translation (generating text in target language conditioned on text in a source language), description of an image conditioned on the image, a summary conditioned on a text, an answer conditioned on a question and a document, etc. In our case, the context will be a tuple of timestamp and coordinates.

# 267 3.2. Problem Formulation

Given a collection of records that provide textual descriptions of a geographical area at different moments in time; our goal is to create a model capable of representing this multi-modal data. Following the traditional language modeling task formulation; we require the resulting model to assign a probability to a *text* given the *timestamp* and *coordinates* associated with that *text*.

More formally, let be  $H = \{r_1, ..., r_n\}$  a set of spatio-temporal annotated text records (e.g., a tweet). Each  $r_i$  is a tuple  $\langle t_i, l_i, e_i \rangle$ , where:  $t_i$  is the timestamp associated with  $r_i, l_i$ is a two-dimensional vector representing the location corresponding to  $r_i$ , and  $e_i$  denotes the text in  $r_i$ . Given that  $e_i$  is a sequence of words  $w_0 \dots w_n$ , assigning a probability to  $w_0 \dots w_n$  given  $\langle t_i, l_i \rangle$  can be written as  $p((w_0, w_1 \dots, w_n) / \langle t_i, l_i \rangle)$ , which is an instance of the conditioned language modeling task presented in Section 3.1.

# 279 3.3. Neural Networks for Language Modeling

Because we propose a neural network architecture to model text generation under spatio-temporal conditions, we consider it is important to provide a background of the state-of-the-art neural network architectures for language modeling. We describe the two neural network architectures that have shown state-of-the-art results across many natural language processing tasks [34]: recurrent neural networks (RNN) and Transformer-based self-attention models.

Recurrent neural network [35] are a family of neural networks architectures that 286 capture temporal dynamic behavior. RNN have been successfully applied to natural 287 language processing problems like speech recognition [36] and machine translation 288 [37–39], among others. In the case of spatio-temporal data, they have been mostly used 289 for mobility modeling [40-43]. In the basic architecture for a RNN, there is a vector h 290 that represents the sequence. At each timestep t, the model takes as input  $h_{t-1}$  and the 291 *t-th* element of the sequence  $x_t$ ; then computes  $h_t$ . For language modeling, at each time 292 step t,  $h_t$  is used as input to a feed-forward network that predicts the next token  $x_{t+1}$ . 203 The most popular architectures of RNN are the Long-Short Term Memory (LSTM) [44] 294



Figure 1. Model's Architecture.

and the Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) [45]. Both variants introduce mechanisms that
 control the information flow between the hidden states representing the sequence.

Self-attention architectures have revolutionized the natural language processing 297 (NLP) field with several works that followed this approach. The Transformer [46] was 298 initially proposed for a language translation task. Later, pre-trained language models 200 [47–49], following the self-attention model proposed by the Transformer, have improved 300 the state-of-the-art for many NLP tasks. This approach uses positional encoding to 301 leverage word positions and several layers of multi-head self-attention. The self-attention 302 architecture removes the recurrent component of RNNs that limits parallelization. This 303 allows faster training with superior quality when compared to previous models based on recurrent neural networks. 305

# 306 3.4. Model Description

Our proposed architecture consists of an end-to-end neural network for encoding spatial and temporal contexts and decoding/generating text. Our design is targeted to model the spatio-temporal context at different granularities and to make the decoding/generating component agnostic to how the encoding of the spatial and temporal contexts are instantiated.

Figure 1 shows the model's architecture. In order to feed our model with spatio-312 temporal textual data, some pre-processing steps are required, first: text is tokenized, 313 timestamps are discretized into temporal-windows and geo-coordinates are discretized 314 into spatial-cells (Equation 1). After that, discretized timestamps and discretized geo-315 coordinates are passed through embedding layers (Equation 2). The embedding layer 316 projects words, temporal-windows and spatial-cells into a dense representation. Each 317 item is embedded using a look-up table and there is a look-up table for each type of item: 318 temporal-windows, spatial-cells and words. Each item is associated with an integer that is 319 used as an index in the correspondent look-up table. 320

After the discretization step, the next step is building the spatio-temporal context (Equation 3). Each timestamp can be discretized into *n* temporal-windows and each coordinate can be discretized into *p* spatial-cells. The n + p temporal-windows and

spatial-cells represent the spatio-temporal context. Afterward, the context is passed 324 through an Encoder layer that results in a context-representation tensor (EmbContext). 325 This context-representation tensor is of invariant/fixed dimensions (<1,d> where d is the 326 representation dimension) no matter how the context is selected. The EmbContext tensor 327 is concatenated as the first element to the sequence of word embeddings (Equation 4), 328 this sequence [EmbContext, EmbWords]; is passed through a Decoder that represents the language model. Finally, we compute the loss to minimize using as loss function 330 the cross-entropy between the predicted sequence of words and the observed sequence 331 of words in the training examples (Equation 5). This is the general architecture that 332 we propose. The main building blocks of our architecture (Encoder, Decoder) can be 333 implemented using different approaches, such as recurrent neural networks or self-334 attention transformer blocks. We experiment with them in Section 4. 335 A salient property of our architecture is that it allows for representing time and space 336

at different levels of granularities. This is achieved by modeling the spatio-temporal
context as a sequence of discrete tokens that represent the particular semantics of each
context type. For example, we could represent the temporal context by the hour of the
day (0-23), day of the week (Sunday to Monday), week of the month, and month of the
year (January to December) and the spatial context by block, neighborhood, district, etc.

$$IDTime_{1}, \dots, IDTime_{n} = DiscTime(\langle timestamp \rangle)$$
  

$$IDPlace_{1}, \dots, IDPlace_{p} = DiscCoordinates(\langle latitude, longitude \rangle)$$
  

$$IDWord_{1}, \dots, IDWord_{s} = TextIndexer(\langle text \rangle)$$
  
(1)

$$EmbTime_{1}^{1,d}, \dots, EmbTime_{n}^{1,d} = IDTime_{1}, \dots, IDTime_{n}$$

$$EmbPlace_{1}^{1,d}, \dots, EmbPlace_{p}^{1,d} = IDPlace_{1}, \dots, IDPlace_{p}$$

$$EmbWord_{1}^{1,d}, \dots, EmbWord_{p}^{1,d} = IDWord_{1}, \dots, IDWord_{s}$$
(2)

 $SeqContext^{n+p,d} = [EmbTime_1^{1,d}, \dots, EmbTime_n^{1,d}, EmbPlace_1^{1,d}, \dots, EmbPlace_p^{1,d}]$  $EmbContext^{1,d} = Encoder(SeqContext^{n+p,d})$ (3)

$$SeqPred^{n+p,d} = [EmbContext^{1,d}, EmbWord_1^{1,d}, \dots, EmbWord_p^{1,d}]$$

$$PredictedWord^{seqlen,vocabsize} = Decoder(SeqContext^{n+p,d})$$
(4)

 $Loss = CrossEntropy(PredictedWord^{seqlen,vocabsize}, CorrectWord^{seqlen,vocabsize})$ (5)

#### 342 3.5. Timestamps and geo-coordinates discretization

To discretize geo-coordinates and timestamps we use equal-size squared cells in 343 the case of the geo-coordinates and hand-crafted temporal-windows in the case of the 344 timestamps. For timestamp discretizations, we use human semantic arrangements of 345 time, in particular: the hour of the day (0-23), day of the week (Sunday to Monday), week 346 of the month (first week to the fifth week) and month of the year (January to December). 347 Figure 2 shows a hierarchy describing these discretizations. For spatial discretization, 348 we use equal-size spatial-cells using the spatial-coordinates as metric space. Figure 3 340 shows a hierarchy describing the squared-cell discretizations. 350

It is important to remark that our approach of representing contexts as discrete 351 sequences allows for working at different levels of granularity. For example, a coarse 352 representation could represent time by a single token corresponding to the month, where 353 a more fine-grained approach could encode time as a sequence containing month, day, hour, etc. We argue that this is a core property of our architecture as it allows us to adapt 355 the spatio-temporal context representation depending on the application. For example, 356 for events related to daily activities (e.g., going to work, having lunch) granularities at 357 the hour level should be more efficient. On the other hand, for events related to seasonal events (e.g., Christmas, Holidays) month-level granularities should work better. 359



Figure 2. Hierarchy of timestamps discretization.

# 360 3.6. Parameters

In all our experiments we use 128-dimensional embedding representation for 361 timestamp, location and words. The models are trained using mini-batch gradient de-362 scent with Adam optimizer [50]. We use 128 examples as batch-size and early-stopping 363 on the validation dataset. We develop experiments with multi-layer GRU recurrent 364 neural networks [45] and Transformer-based neural networks for the Encoder/ Decoder 365 components of our proposed architecture. The GRU recurrent neural networks use a 366 two-layer GRU with a hidden layer size of 128. While the Transformer-based neural 367 networks are used in all cases also with two self-attention layers, four heads and 128 368 vector size for queries, keys and values (see [51] for additional details). 36

# 370 4. Experiments

In this section, we describe our experimental framework. The goal is to get a better understanding of the patterns that guide language generation in spatio-temporal contexts. In particular, looking at the data defined from tuples (*time, location, text*), the model will be evaluated in a traditional language modeling task (i.e. using the Perplexity metric). First, we describe the datasets. After that, we present the evaluation methodology, then we show the experimental results and finally, we showcase studies of real-world applications of the studied models.

# 378 4.1. Datasets

We conduct experiments using two LBSN datasets: one from Twitter and other from Foursquare, each dataset is described next:

- Los Angeles ('LA-TW'): This dataset [10] is a set of geo-tagged tweets from Los
   Angeles, USA. It is 1,584,307 geo-tagged tweets from 2014.08.01 to 2014.11.30 (see
   Table 2).
- New York ('NY-FS'): This dataset was also first reported on [10]. It consists of Foursquare check-ins reported on Twitter by users in the city of New York, USA.
   The data contains 479,297 records check-ins from 2010.02.25 to 2012.08.16 (see Table
- 387 2).



Figure 3. Hierarchy of coordinates discretization.

Table 2: Datasets

	LA-TW	NY-FS
Records	1,188,405	479,297
City	Los Angeles	New York
Start Date	2014.08.01	2010.02.25
End Date	2014.11.30	2012.08.16

#### 4.2. Evaluation methodology 388

For each experiment we split the dataset in training-validation-test, keeping 10% of 389 each dataset as test, 10% for validation, and 80% for training. Given that the input to the 390 models is a set of tuples in the form:  $\langle timestamp, coordinates, text \rangle$ , for each experiment 301 we set the vocabulary to the 12,288 most common words in the training set. The number 392 of spatial-cells and temporal-windows is variable depending on the experiment. We 393 filter out tuples where the number of words in the vocabulary is ten or less and reduce 39 all URLs to the token 'http'. 395

Evaluation of language modeling is usually done using Perplexity [52]. Perplexity 396 measures how well a language model predicts a test sample and captures how many bits 397 are needed on average per word to represent the test sample. It is important to note that in Perplexity, the lower the score, the better the model. Perplexity, for a test set where all 399 sentences are arranged one after other in a sequence of words  $w_1, \ldots, w_T$  of length T, is 400 defined as: 401

$$Perplexity = 2^{-\frac{1}{T}\log_2 p(w_1,...,w_T)}.$$
(6)

#### 4.3. Discretization exploration 402

In order to better understand the spatio-temporal discretizations, in Figures 4 and 403 5 we show histograms of the timestamps and geo-coordinates discretizations for both 404 datasets NY-FS and TW-LA. We show the 24 hours of the day (0-23) and the discretization 405 of geo-coordinates by (0.001x0.001) spatial cells. 406

We can observe that, for both datasets, early morning hours are the least frequent, 407 starting to increase in the afternoon until the night hours. In total there are 19,157 spatial 408



Figure 4. Histograms of distribution for the NY-FS dataset.

cells for the NY-FS dataset and 84,693 for the LA-TW dataset. In the case of the NY-FS 409 dataset around 82% (15,796) of the cells have less than the average number of messages 410 per cell (dotted line in Figure 4), while for the LA-TW the distribution is similar, around 411 83% (70,529) of the cells have less than the average number of messages per cell (dotted 412 line in Figure 5). These similarities in the patterns observed in the histograms indicate 413 that even when these datasets were collected from different cities and in different time 414 windows, there are patterns for text generation under spatio-temporal contexts that 415 prevail independently of the place and time window in which the data was collected. 416

# 417 4.4. Encoder-Decoder analysis

In our first set of experiments, we evaluate different options for the spatio-temporal 418 context representation component (Encoder) and the language modeling component 419 (Decoder) (see Section 3.4). In each case, we test two variants. For the Encoder we test 1) 420 projecting the embeddings output of the embedding layer with a fully-connected layer 421 on top and 2) the Self-Attention Encoder representation proposed in [51] (without the 422 positional encoding since the order is irrelevant in the sequence of tokens representing 423 the spatio-temporal context) also with a fully-connected layer on top. For the Decoder 424 we test: 1) a two layers GRU recurrent neural network [45] and 2) a transformer-based two layer Decoder representation proposed in [51]. 426

In Table 3 we show the results for Foursquare and in Table 4 for Twitter. For 427 both datasets, we test two different options for times and places in the Encoder: all 428 times (alltimes), all places (allplaces), and all times-places (all). We can see that for both datasets and for each option of times and places; using only the embeddings in 430 the Encoder performed better than using the Self-Attention component. While for the 431 Decoder, the Self-Attention component performed equally better than the GRU in the 432 same analysis. The combination Encoder(Embeddings)-Decoder(Self-Attention) got the 433 best results in all cases. Our interpretation of these results is that the Self-Attention 434 mechanism in the spatio-temporal context introduces noise between the units in the 435 spatio-temporal context; while using only the Embeddings keeps the representations 436 of the spatio-temporal units independent from each other. In the case of the Decoder 437 there is no such issue what we are modeling is the sequential structure of the text that can be captured with the Self-Attention Decoder. In the next section, where we analyze 439 different granularities for time and space, we use this setting of Encoder(Embeddings) 440 and Decoder(Self-Attention) as evaluation setting. 441



Histogram of distribution over (0.001x0.001) spatial cells

Figure 5. Histograms of distribution for the LA-TW dataset.

#### 442 4.5. Spatio-temporal granularities analysis

In this section, we study how modeling time and space at different granularities 443 influences the spatio-temporal conditioned language models. In Table 5 we show the 444 results for the Twitter dataset from Los Angeles. We can see that in every case including 445 a spatial context or a temporal context improved the Perplexity results. Also, the 446 improvements for temporal contexts were marginal when compared to a language 447 model that ignores the spatio-temporal context (first row in the table). The spatial 448 contexts show notable improvements in all cases, more than the temporal contexts; the 449 larger the spatial-cell, the best the results. 450

As a complement to the results in Table 5, in Table 6 we show the results with bigger
spatial-cells. We can see that instead of getting better results, Perplexity gets worst, with
indicates that the sweet point to get the best results is with spatial-cells between 0.008
and 0.016.

In Table 7 we show the results for the Foursquare dataset from New York. The Per-455 plexities for this dataset are lower than the Perplexities for the Twitter dataset from Los 456 Angeles. This is due to that most of the Foursquare reports are generic texts generation suggested by the application. These texts only differ in most of the cases on the place that 458 is checked-in, while the Twitter dataset is mostly free texts. About the spatio-temporal 459 modeling, we observe similar results to the Twitter dataset; in all cases, including the 460 spatio-temporal context improves the Perplexity. With the temporal contexts producing marginal improvements while the spatial contexts show the biggest margin in improve-462 ments. Contrary to the results over the Twitter dataset; with this dataset, smaller cell-size 463 produced better results than the wider ones. We consider that this is due to texts being 464 correlated to places of interest where people report activities in Foursquare (restaurants 465 and small businesses) with a fine granularity. 466

As a complement to the results in Table 7, in Table 8 we show the results with smaller spatial-cells. We can see that the results improve, Perplexity gets lower. We could not continue the decrease the spatial-cell size because of resources restriction. Also, in order to find a point where the Perplexity begins to deteriorate, we need to test spatial-cells smaller than the regular size of popular places where activities are reported on Foursquare. Table 3: Perplexity results for the Foursquare dataset from New York. Testing only Embeddings and Self-Attention for the Encoder component and GRU-RNN or Self-Attention for the Decoder. In the *Context* column: h means hour, d means day in the week, w means week in the month, and m means month in the year. Also: p1, p2, p4, and p8 mean squared cells of side: 0.001, 0.002, 0.004, 0.008.

Context	Encoder	Decoder	Dataset	Perplexity
[]	-	GRU	NY-FS	10.49
[]	-	Self-Attn	NY-FS	9.13
[hdwm]-alltimes	Embeddings	GRU	NY-FS	10.02
[hdwm]-alltimes	Embeddings	Self-Attn	NY-FS	9.00
[hdwm]-alltimes	Self-Attn	GRU	NY-FS	10.14
[hdwm]-alltimes	Self-Attn	Self-Attn	NY-FS	47.15
[p1p2p4p8]-allplaces	Embeddings	GRU	NY-FS	6.51
[p1p2p4p8]-allplaces	Embeddings	Self-Attn	NY-FS	5.45
[p1p2p4p8]-allplaces	Self-Attn	GRU	NY-FS	10.13
[p1p2p4p8]-allplaces	Self-Attn	Self-Attn	NY-FS	36.62
[hdwm p1p2p4p8]-all	Embeddings	GRU	NY-FS	6.38
[hdwm p1p2p4p8]-all	Embeddings	Self-Attn	NY-FS	5.34
[hdwm p1p2p4p8]-all	Self-Attn	GRU	NY-FS	10.14
[hdwm p1p2p4p8]-all	Self-Attn	Self-Attn	NY-FS	34.93

#### 473 4.6. *Qualitative analysis*

In this section, we perform a qualitative analysis of language generation for the 474 studied models. First, we show examples of texts generated after training a spatio-475 temporal conditioned language model given a spatio-temporal context. Finally, we 476 show Figures 6, 7, and 8 where we can see attention weights that the text generation 477 component gives to the elements in the spatio-temporal context. Attention weights can 478 be particularly useful for the GIS community in our model since they relate words to 479 spatial and temporal contexts and offer interpretability. We can see the direct relationship 480 between individual words and different granularities of representation. 481

In Table 9 we show examples of a language model trained with the Twitter dataset from Los Angeles with all granularities of time and space discretization (last row in Table 5). We selected two hubs for urban activities in Los Angeles: the Staples Center and Venice Beach. For the Staples Center, we selected a date of concert of the British band Arctic Monkeys and a date of a basketball game between the Los Angeles Lakers and the Los Angeles Clippers. We can observe that even for the same location, the texts generated can be associated with different events. For the examples using Venice Beach as context, we can see that the generated texts are associated with beach activities.

This type of analysis shows the utility of the spatio-temporal conditioned language 490 models trained over LBSN datasets to characterize human activities in urban areas. 491 Figures 6, 7, and 8 show examples given the Staples Center as context. In Figure 6 492 we show a date from a Los Angeles Lakers game. We can see that the word staples is associated with the finer granularity of geo-coordinates discretization while the word 494 night plays attention to the timestamp discretization as the hour of the day. In Figure 6 495 we show a date from a Katy Perry concert. We can see how the words *katyperry* and *at the* 496 staples center are associated with the finest granularities of geo-coordinates discretization; 497 while the word *tonight*, a more general term, is associated with the coarsest granularity. 498 In Figure 8 we show an example with the geo-coordinates of Venice Beach as spatial 499 context. We can observe how the word *venice* is associated with the finest level of spatial 500 discretization; while the word beach is associated with the second finest granularity, beach 501 is a more general term than venice, but also is only associated with coastal regions in a 502 city. 503

Table 4: Perplexity results for the Twitter dataset from Los Angeles. Testing only Embeddings and Self-Attention for the Encoder component and GRU-RNN or Self-Attention for the Decoder. In the *Context* column: h means hour, d means day in the week, w means week in the month, and m means month in the year. Also: p1, p2, p4, and p8 mean squared cells of side: 0.001, 0.002, 0.004, 0.008.

Context	Encoder	Decoder	Dataset	Perplexity
[]	-	GRU	LA-TW	63.03
[]	-	Self-Attn	LA-TW	57.35
[hdwm]-alltimes	Embeddings	GRU	LA-TW	61.90
[hdwm]-alltimes	Embeddings	Self-Attn	LA-TW	56.67
[hdwm]-alltimes	Self-Attn	GRU	LA-TW	63.02
[hdwm]-alltimes	Self-Attn	Self-Attn	LA-TW	193.77
[p1p2p4p8]-allplaces	Embeddings	GRU	LA-TW	61.13
[p1p2p4p8]-allplaces	Embeddings	Self-Attn	LA-TW	54.30
[p1p2p4p8]-allplaces	Self-Attn	GRU	LA-TW	62.42
[p1p2p4p8]-allplaces	Self-Attn	Self-Attn	LA-TW	161.14
[hdwm p1p2p4p8]-all	Embeddings	GRU	LA-TW	58.88
[hdwm p1p2p4p8]-all	Embeddings	Self-Attn	LA-TW	53.85
[hdwm p1p2p4p8]-all	Self-Attn	GRU	LA-TW	63.06
[hdwm p1p2p4p8]-all	Self-Attn	Self-Attn	LA-TW	72.80

Table 5: Perplexity results for the Twitter dataset from Los Angeles. In this table we show the results using squared-cells as spatial discretizations.

Context	Cells	Dataset	Perplexity
[]	-	LA-TW	57.35
[h]-hour	24	LA-TW	57.07
[d]-day	7	LA-TW	57.17
[w]-week	5	LA-TW	57.13
[m]-month	12	LA-TW	56.95
[hdwm]-alltimes	48	LA-TW	56.67
[p1]-0.001	77,065	LA-TW	54.65
[p2]-0.002	34,284	LA-TW	52.91
[p4]-0.004	11,359	LA-TW	51.45
[p8]-0.008	3,283	LA-TW	51.30
[p1p2p4p8]-allplaces	125,992	LA-TW	54.30
[hdwm p1p2p4p8]-all	126,036	LA-TW	53.85

The above examples illustrate the potential of our model for spatio-temporal analy-504 ses. On the one hand, we demonstrate that our language models are able to generate 505 sentences that efficiently and coherently describe a spatio-temporal context. This can be especially useful for researchers trying to describe or summarize an event using 507 natural language from spatio-temporal contexts. Moreover, our attention weights pro-508 vide an interpretable relationship between text, space, and time. To the best of our 509 knowledge, this is the first work to use an attention mechanism for this purpose. These 510 interpretations are valuable, as they provide insights into how space and time influence 511 what people say (whether on social networks or any other data source of this nature). 512 Although neural networks are known to be difficult to interpret, attention weights are 513 a well-known example of an interpretable component that has been widely used in 514 machine translation, video captioning, among others. We hope that the results presented 515 here will increase interest in the use of this mechanism in spatio-temporal domains. 516



**Figure 6.** Example sentence attention to the spatio-temporal context. Yellow means more attention while blue means less attention.



**Figure 7.** Example sentence attention to the spatio-temporal context. Yellow means more attention while blue means less attention.



**Figure 8.** Example sentence attention to the spatio-temporal context. Yellow means more attention while blue means less attention.

Context Cells Dataset Perplexity [] LA-TW 57.35 [p]-0.016 1,253 LA-TW 52.39 LA-TW [p]-0.024 460 52.81 [p]-0.032 197 LA-TW 53.32

Table 6: Perplexity results for the Twitter dataset from Los Angeles. In this table we

show the results using squared-cells as spatial discretizations.

Table 7: Perplexity results for the Foursquare dataset from New York. In this table we show the results using squared-cells as spatial discretizations.

Context	Cells	Dataset	Perplexity
[]	-	NY-FS	9.13
[h]-hour	24	NY-FS	8.97
[d]-day	7	NY-FS	9.10
[w]-week	5	NY-FS	9.21
[m]-month	12	NY-FS	9.09
[hdwm]-alltimes	48	NY-FS	9.00
[p1]-0.001	17,929	NY-FS	5.40
[p2]-0.002	11,260	NY-FS	5.74
[p4]-0.004	6,060	NY-FS	6.10
[p8]-0.008	3,283	NY-FS	6.63
[p1p2p4p8]-allplaces	38,532	NY-FS	5.45
[ĥdwm p1p2p4p8]-all	38,580	NY-FS	5.34

### 517 5. Conclusions

In this work, we studied the problem of modeling spatio-temporal annotated textual 518 data. We studied how different granularities of time and space influence spatio-temporal 519 conditioned language generation on location-based social networks. We proposed a 520 neural language model architecture adaptable to different granularities of time and space. 521 A remarkable result of our experiments over two datasets from social networks Twitter 522 (Los Angeles) and Foursquare (New York) is that each dataset has its own optimal 523 granularity setting for spatio-temporal language generation. Since our proposed architecture is adaptable to modeling time and space at different granularities, it is capable of 525 capturing patterns according to each dataset. These results directly answer our research 526 question by empirically demonstrating that an appropriate adjustment of temporal and 527 spatial granularities can benefit spatio-temporal language modeling/generation. On our qualitative evaluations, first, we show how the proposed model can be used to 529 summarize activities in urban environments with natural language generation. This 530 application highlights the importance of modeling the sequential structure of texts in 531 order to generate coherent descriptions for spatio-temporal contexts. Secondly, we show 532 how words with distinct semantics are linked to spatial cells and temporal windows 533 related to their semantics. 534

We foresee valuable future research opportunities by working with more recent datasets and with the use of handcrafted discretizations. We chose to conduct our experiments with these datasets in order to keep the evaluation process consistent with previous works. For the timestamp and geo-coordinates discretizations, we would like to avoid the use of hard delimitations between cells as this can lead to times and places that may be close to each other being assigned to different cells.

Author Contributions: Conception and design of study: Juglar Diaz, Barbara Poblete and Felipe
 Bravo-Marquez; acquisition of data: Juglar Diaz; analysis and/or interpretation of data: Juglar
 Diaz, Barbara Poblete and Felipe Bravo-Marquez. Drafting the manuscript: Juglar Diaz; revising

Context	Cells	Dataset	Perplexity
[]	-	NY-FS	8.31
[p]-0.00075	21250	NY-FS	5.33
[p]-0.00050	26431	NY-FS	5.22
[p]-0.00025	35091	NY-FS	5.07

Table 8: Perplexity results for the Foursquare dataset from New York. In this table we show the results using squared-cells as spatial discretizations.

the manuscript critically for important intellectual content: Juglar Diaz, Barbara Poblete and Felipe 544 Bravo-Marquez. Approval of the version of the manuscript to be published: Juglar Diaz, Barbara 545

Poblete and Felipe Bravo-Marquez. 546

Funding: This research was funded by the Millennium Institute for Foundational Research on 547

Data (IMFD) and by Fondecyt Grant No. 1191604. The work of Juglar Diaz was funded by 548 CONICYT-PCHA/Doctorado Nacional/2016-21160142. 549

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable. 551

Data Availability Statement: In this work we use two datasets: A dataset of geo-tagged tweets 552

from Los Angeles and a dataset of Foursquare check-ins from New York. Both datasets were first 553

reported in [10]. We downloaded the datasets from the link provided by the authors in (download) 554

and created our pre-processed versions that can be found in (download). 555

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by Millennium Institute for Foundational Research 556 on Data (IMFD), FONDECYT Grant No. 1191604, FONDECYT Grant No. 11200290, U-Inicia 557 VID Project UI-004/20, ANID - Millennium Science Initiative Program - Code ICN17 002 and 558

CONICYT-PCHA/Doctorado Nacional/2016-21160142. 559

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. 560

#### Abbreviations 561

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript: 562

563

550

LBSN Location-based social networks 564

Table 9: Examples of text generation after training a spatio-temporal conditioned language model with the dataset of Twitter from Los Angeles. This Table show results for two points of interest: the Staples Center and Venice Beach. For the Staples Center we selected a date of a concert and a date of a basketball game.

Context	Text Generated
(Staples Center) (34.043; -118.267) (Con- cert Date) '2014/08/07 22:00:00'	[' <start>', 'taking', 'a', 'break', 'from', 'the', 'arctic', 'monkeys', 'concert', 'and', 'i', 'love', 'the', 'place', 'if', 'you', 'are', 'here', '#staples', 'staplescenter', 'http', '<end>' ['<start>', 'during', 'the', 'night', '#arcticmonkeys', 'http', '<end>'] ['<start>', 'arctic', 'monkeys', 'an- them', 'with', 'my', 'mom', 'at', 'staples', 'center', 'http', '<end>']</end></start></end></start></end></start>
(Staples Center) (34.043; lon = -118.267) (Game Date) '2014/10/31 22:00:00'	[' <start>', 'just', 'posted', 'a', 'photo', '105', 'east', 'los', 'angeles', 'clippers', 'game', 'http', '<end>'] ['<start>', '#lakers', '#golakers', 'los', 'angeles', 'lakers', 'surprise', 'summer', '-', 'great', 'job', '-', 'lakers', 'nation', 'http', '#sportsroadhouse', '<end>'] ['<start>', 'who', 'wants', 'to', 'go', 'to', 'the', 'lakings', 'game', 'lmao', '<end>']</end></start></end></start></end></start>
(Venice Beach) (33.985; -118.472) (Date) '2014/08/24 13:50:00'	[' <start>', 'touched', 'down', 'venice', 'beach', '#venice', '#venicebeach', 'http', '<end>'] ['<start>', 'venice', 'beach', 'cali', '#nofilter', '#venice', '#venicebeach', 'is', 'rolling', 'great', '<end>'] ['<start>', 'who', 'wants', 'to', 'go', 'to', 'venice', 'beach', 'shot', 'on', 'the', 'beach', '<end>'] ['<start>', 'venice', 'beach', '#venice- beach', '#california', '#travel', 'venice', 'beach', 'ca', 'http', '<end>'] ['<start>', '#longbeach', '#venice- beach', '#venice', '#beach', '#sunset', '#venice', '#venicebeach', '#losangeles', '#california', 'http', '<end>']</end></start></end></start></end></start></end></start></end></start>

# References

- Zhang, C.; Zhang, K.; Yuan, Q.; Zhang, L.; Hanratty, T.; Han, J. Gmove: Group-level mobility modeling using geo-tagged social media. KDD: proceedings. International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining. NIH Public Access, 2016, Vol. 2016, p. 1305.
- Noulas, A.; Scellato, S.; Lathia, N.; Mascolo, C. Mining user mobility features for next place prediction in location-based services. Data mining (ICDM), 2012 IEEE 12th international conference on. IEEE, 2012, pp. 1038–1043.
- Wu, F.; Li, Z.; Lee, W.C.; Wang, H.; Huang, Z. Semantic annotation of mobility data using social media. Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee, 2015, pp. 1253–1263.
- 4. Yin, Z.; Cao, L.; Han, J.; Zhai, C.; Huang, T. Geographical topic discovery and comparison. Proceedings of the 20th international conference on World wide web. ACM, 2011, pp. 247–256.
- Zhang, C.; Zhang, K.; Yuan, Q.; Tao, F.; Zhang, L.; Hanratty, T.; Han, J. ReAct: Online Multimodal Embedding for Recency-Aware Spatiotemporal Activity Modeling. Proceedings of the 40th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. ACM, 2017, pp. 245–254.
- 6. Sakaki, T.; Okazaki, M.; Matsuo, Y. Earthquake shakes Twitter users: real-time event detection by social sensors. Proceedings of the 19th international conference on World wide web. ACM, 2010, pp. 851–860.
- Sakaki, T.; Okazaki, M.; Matsuo, Y. Tweet analysis for real-time event detection and earthquake reporting system development. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 2013, 25, 919–931.
- 8. Zhao, L.; Chen, F.; Lu, C.T.; Ramakrishnan, N. Spatiotemporal event forecasting in social media. Proceedings of the 2015 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining. SIAM, 2015, pp. 963–971.
- Ye, M.; Shou, D.; Lee, W.C.; Yin, P.; Janowicz, K. On the semantic annotation of places in location-based social networks. Proceedings of the 17th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining. ACM, 2011, pp. 520–528.
- Zhang, C.; Zhang, K.; Yuan, Q.; Peng, H.; Zheng, Y.; Hanratty, T.; Wang, S.; Han, J. Regions, periods, activities: Uncovering urban dynamics via cross-modal representation learning. Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web, 2017, pp. 361–370.
- 11. Baraglia, R.; Muntean, C.I.; Nardini, F.M.; Silvestri, F. LearNext: learning to predict tourists movements. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM international conference on Information & Knowledge Management. ACM, 2013, pp. 751–756.
- 12. Yuan, Q.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, C.; Geng, X.; Cong, G.; Han, J. Pred: Periodic region detection for mobility modeling of social media users. Proceedings of the Tenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. ACM, 2017, pp. 263–272.
- 13. Allan, J.; Carbonell, J.G.; Doddington, G.; Yamron, J.; Yang, Y. Topic detection and tracking pilot study final report 1998.
- 14. Ozdikis, O.; Oguztuzun, H.; Karagoz, P. Evidential location estimation for events detected in twitter. Proceedings of the 7th Workshop on Geographic Information Retrieval. ACM, 2013, pp. 9–16.
- Pan, B.; Zheng, Y.; Wilkie, D.; Shahabi, C. Crowd sensing of traffic anomalies based on human mobility and social media. Proceedings of the 21st ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems. ACM, 2013, pp. 344–353.
- 16. Wang, S.; He, L.; Stenneth, L.; Yu, P.S.; Li, Z. Citywide traffic congestion estimation with social media. Proceedings of the 23rd SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems. ACM, 2015, p. 34.
- Wang, X.; Brown, D.E.; Gerber, M.S. Spatio-temporal modeling of criminal incidents using geographic, demographic, and Twitter-derived information. Intelligence and Security Informatics (ISI), 2012 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2012, pp. 36–41.
- 18. Gerber, M.S. Predicting crime using Twitter and kernel density estimation. *Decision Support Systems* 2014, 61, 115–125.
- 19. Chen, X.; Cho, Y.; Jang, S.Y. Crime prediction using Twitter sentiment and weather. Systems and Information Engineering Design Symposium (SIEDS), 2015. IEEE, 2015, pp. 63–68.
- 20. Mei, Q.; Liu, C.; Su, H.; Zhai, C. A probabilistic approach to spatiotemporal theme pattern mining on weblogs. Proceedings of the 15th international conference on World Wide Web. ACM, 2006, pp. 533–542.
- Eisenstein, J.; O'Connor, B.; Smith, N.A.; Xing, E.P. A latent variable model for geographic lexical variation. Proceedings of the 2010 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2010, pp. 1277–1287.
- 22. Wang, C.; Wang, J.; Xie, X.; Ma, W.Y. Mining geographic knowledge using location aware topic model. Proceedings of the 4th ACM workshop on Geographical information retrieval. ACM, 2007, pp. 65–70.
- 23. Sizov, S. Geofolk: latent spatial semantics in web 2.0 social media. Proceedings of the third ACM international conference on Web search and data mining. ACM, 2010, pp. 281–290.
- 24. Hong, L.; Ahmed, A.; Gurumurthy, S.; Smola, A.J.; Tsioutsiouliklis, K. Discovering geographical topics in the twitter stream. Proceedings of the 21st international conference on World Wide Web. ACM, 2012, pp. 769–778.
- Ahmed, A.; Hong, L.; Smola, A.J. Hierarchical geographical modeling of user locations from social media posts. Proceedings of the 22nd international conference on World Wide Web. ACM, 2013, pp. 25–36.
- 26. Kling, C.C.; Kunegis, J.; Sizov, S.; Staab, S. Detecting non-gaussian geographical topics in tagged photo collections. Proceedings of the 7th ACM international conference on Web search and data mining. ACM, 2014, pp. 603–612.

- 27. Hofmann, T. Probabilistic latent semantic indexing. ACM SIGIR Forum. ACM, 2017, Vol. 51, pp. 211–218.
- 28. Blei, D.M.; Ng, A.Y.; Jordan, M.I. Latent dirichlet allocation. Journal of machine Learning research 2003, 3, 993–1022.
- 29. Mikolov, T.; Sutskever, I.; Chen, K.; Corrado, G.S.; Dean, J. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. Advances in neural information processing systems, 2013, pp. 3111–3119.
- 30. Pennington, J.; Socher, R.; Manning, C.D. Glove: Global Vectors for Word Representation. EMNLP, 2014, Vol. 14, pp. 1532–43.
- Huang, X.; Li, J.; Hu, X. Label informed attributed network embedding. Proceedings of the Tenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. ACM, 2017, pp. 731–739.
- 32. Zhang, C.; Liu, M.; Liu, Z.; Yang, C.; Zhang, L.; Han, J. Spatiotemporal Activity Modeling Under Data Scarcity: A Graph-Regularized Cross-Modal Embedding Approach. AAAI, 2018.
- 33. Blei, D.M. Probabilistic topic models. Communications of the ACM 2012, 55, 77-84.
- 34. Eisenstein, J. Introduction to natural language processing; MIT press, 2019.
- 35. Graves, A. Supervised sequence labelling. In Supervised sequence labelling with recurrent neural networks; Springer, 2012; pp. 5–13.
- 36. Graves, A.; Jaitly, N. Towards end-to-end speech recognition with recurrent neural networks. International Conference on Machine Learning, 2014, pp. 1764–1772.
- 37. Sutskever, I.; Vinyals, O.; Le, Q.V. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. Advances in neural information processing systems, 2014, pp. 3104–3112.
- 38. Cho, K.; Van Merriënboer, B.; Gulcehre, C.; Bahdanau, D.; Bougares, F.; Schwenk, H.; Bengio, Y. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.1078* **2014**.
- 39. Liu, S.; Yang, N.; Li, M.; Zhou, M. A recursive recurrent neural network for statistical machine translation. Proceedings of the 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), 2014, Vol. 1, pp. 1491–1500.
- 40. Liu, Q.; Wu, S.; Wang, L.; Tan, T. Predicting the Next Location: A Recurrent Model with Spatial and Temporal Contexts. AAAI, 2016, pp. 194–200.
- 41. Yang, C.; Sun, M.; Zhao, W.X.; Liu, Z.; Chang, E.Y. A neural network approach to jointly modeling social networks and mobile trajectories. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)* **2017**, *35*, 36.
- Yao, D.; Zhang, C.; Huang, J.; Bi, J. SERM: A recurrent model for next location prediction in semantic trajectories. Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. ACM, 2017, pp. 2411–2414.
- Feng, J.; Li, Y.; Zhang, C.; Sun, F.; Meng, F.; Guo, A.; Jin, D. DeepMove: Predicting Human Mobility with Attentional Recurrent Networks. Proceedings of the 2018 World Wide Web Conference on World Wide Web. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee, 2018, pp. 1459–1468.
- 44. Hochreiter, S.; Schmidhuber, J. Long short-term memory. Neural computation 1997, 9, 1735–1780.
- 45. Chung, J.; Gulcehre, C.; Cho, K.; Bengio, Y. Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1412.3555 **2014**.
- 46. Vaswani, A.; Shazeer, N.; Parmar, N.; Uszkoreit, J.; Jones, L.; Gomez, A.N.; Kaiser, Ł.; Polosukhin, I. Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems, 2017, pp. 5998–6008.
- 47. Devlin, J.; Chang, M.W.; Lee, K.; Toutanova, K. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805* **2018**.
- 48. Yang, Z.; Dai, Z.; Yang, Y.; Carbonell, J.; Salakhutdinov, R.; Le, Q.V. XLNet: Generalized Autoregressive Pretraining for Language Understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.08237* **2019**.
- 49. Radford, A.; Wu, J.; Child, R.; Luan, D.; Amodei, D.; Sutskever, I. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI* Blog **2019**, 1.
- 50. Kinga, D.; Adam, J.B. A method for stochastic optimization. International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2015, Vol. 5.
- Vaswani, A.; Shazeer, N.; Parmar, N.; Uszkoreit, J.; Jones, L.; Gomez, A.N.; Kaiser, u.; Polosukhin, I. Attention is All You Need. Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems. Curran Associates Inc., 2017, NIPS'17, p. 6000–6010.
- 52. Brown, P.F.; Della Pietra, S.A.; Della Pietra, V.J.; Lai, J.C.; Mercer, R.L. An estimate of an upper bound for the entropy of English. *Computational Linguistics* **1992**, *18*, 31–40.